

**SECOND TECHNICAL GROUP MEETINGS
OF
THE INITIATIVE TO DEVELOP A PROPOSAL
FOR A
SPRING RISE ON THE MISSOURI RIVER**

**North Dakota Fish and Game
100 North Bismarck Expressway
Bismarck, North Dakota
June 28-29th, 2005**

Draft Agenda

Day I – Tuesday, June 28th 9:00 am– 5:30 PM

9:00 – 9:15 Welcome, Introductions and Agenda Review

- ◆ Agenda review and approval of the agenda for the meeting

9:15 – 9:45 Review of the Terms of Reference and Tasks of Technical Working Groups

- ◆ The terms of reference
- ◆ The mandate to develop a starting point for a Spring Rise
- ◆ Clarification of summer flows issue
- ◆ Clarification of issues related to drought conservation
- ◆ Parameters COE needs from the Plenary Group in order to conduct a Spring Rise

9:45 – 10:00 Plenary Session of all Technical Working Groups - Overview of the Work to date of the Hydrology and Water Quality Technical Working Group and the Pallid Sturgeon/Fish and Wildlife Working Group

10:00 – 11:00 Plenary Session - Presentation of Modeling Runs of possible Spring Rises

- ◆ Presentation by the COE on a number of model runs, what has been learned and what remains to be done.
- ◆ Discussion of how well models meet the interests and data needs of Plenary Group members and those of their organizations/constituents
- ◆ Discussion of considerations and questions about alternative or supplemental models

11:00 -11:15 Break

11:15 – 12:30 Plenary Session – Observations, Comments and Advice from the Pallid Sturgeon/Fish and Wildlife Working Group on Spring Rise Model Runs and how well they address Sturgeon Spawning and Recruitment

- ◆ Feedback on various components of the Spring Rise – number of rises, timing, temperature, photosensitivity, rate or rise (gradual/rapid/multiple pulses), percent change from antecedent discharge, duration (short/long/multiple smaller ones), peaks (short/long), stop criteria (dry years, wet years, system storage, hydrological events during a rise), etc.
- ◆ What measures need to be taken to prevent a jeopardy decision?
- ◆ Identification of possible modifications of components in various runs, and any additional runs that are needed

12:30 – 1:45 Lunch (On your own)

1:45 – 3:45 Individual Technical Working Group Meetings

3:45 – 4:00 Break

4:00 _ 4:45 Plenary Session - Initial Observations, Comments and Advice on Model Runs from the Socio-Economic Technical Working Group

- ◆ Preliminary feedback from members of the Socio-Economic Technical Working Group
- ◆ Discussion of how socio-economic concerns can be accurately identified and assessed
- ◆ Discussion of how socio-economic factors should be included as criteria in decision making about a Spring Rise.

4:45 – 5:30 Plenary Session - Initial Observations, Comments and Advice on the Model Runs from the Historical, Cultural and Burial Ground Technical Working Group

- ◆ Preliminary feedback from members of the Historical, Cultural and Burial Ground Technical Working Group
- ◆ Discussion of how concerns can be identified, assessed and addressed
- ◆ Discussion of how factors should be included as criteria in decision making about a Spring Rise.

Day II Wednesday, June 29th 8:00 AM – 11:45 AM

8:00 – 8:15 Insights and/or Questions from Day I and Agenda Review for Day II

8:15 –9:30 Continue Separate meetings of Workgroups to Refine Goals, Tasks and Work Programs

9:30 – 10:15 Plenary of all Technical Working Groups to present Additional Ideas to the Hydrology and Water Quality Technical Working Group and describe future Technical Working Group Activities

10:15 – 10:30 Break

10:30 – 11:45 Discussion of Content and Process for Technical Work Group Presentations to the Plenary Group

11:45 – 1:00 Lunch (On your own)